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Reflection on the Continuous Improvement of Student Learning

1. List the changes and improvements your program planned to implement as a result of last year’s student
   learning outcomes assessment data.
2. Were all of the changes implemented? If not, please explain.

We aligned our Student Learning Outcome (SLO) to the 2016 CACREP standards. Performance measures are still
the same. Overall, the changes demonstrate a continued positive impact on student learning for the School
Counseling Program.

Student Learning Outcome 1

Professional School Counseling students will be able to use accountability/program assessment data to inform decision
making

Changes to the Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan: If any changes were made to the assessment plan
(which includes the Student Learning Outcome, Effectiveness Measure, Methodology and Performance Outcome)
for this student learning outcome since your last report was submitted, briefly summarize the changes made and the
rationale for the changes.
The SLO for this program is current and reflects current knowledge, skills, and abilities that candidates need to possess based on these revised national standards. The effective measure, methodology, and performance outcomes were also revised to ensure the SLO was assessed via multiple measures and multiple points in time. The effective measures now include rubrics in CSLG 7141 and CSLG 7646 and the Praxis II exam.
**Effectiveness Measure:** Identify the data collection instrument, e.g., exam, project, paper, etc. that will be used to gauge acquisition of this student learning outcome and explain how it assesses the desired knowledge, skill or ability.

1. Academic Development and Social/Emotional Data Project: students analyze faux program data to develop SMART goals for students’ academic and social/emotional data. (CSLG 7141)

2. Data and Advocacy Project: students analyze school data at their internship sites and develop an intervention to address a student need utilizing ASCA Mindsets & Behaviors. (CSLG 7646)

3. Praxis II exam: specifically covers the standard, “knows how to apply data analysis results for program improvement” (p. 10, The Praxis Study Companion).

**Methodology:** Describe when, where and how the assessment of this student learning outcome will be administered and evaluated. Describe the process the department will use to collect, analyze and disseminate the assessment data to program faculty and to decide the changes/improvements to make on the basis of the assessment data.

1. The first point is in CSLG 7141, when students complete and receive feedback on their data project. Projects were evaluated by detailed rubrics. Students were assessed during their first semester in the program.

2. The second point is in CSLG 7646, when students complete and receive feedback on their data and advocacy project. Projects were evaluated by detailed rubrics. This is the third school counseling course in sequence.

3. The third point is in their final semester, when students take the state Praxis II exam. The *PRAXIS School Counseling and Guidance* examination consists of 120 items and is taken during the final semester the candidate is enrolled in the program to measure their professional school counseling knowledge. The results are electronically scored by ETS.Org and disseminated to students and the College of Education Teacher Education, Advising, and Licensure (TEAL).

**Performance Outcome:** Identify the percentage of students assessed that should be able to demonstrate proficiency in this student learning outcome and the level of proficiency expected.

1. At least 95% of students will meet expectations by scoring a minimum of 3 on the Academic Development and Social/Emotional Data Project scoring rubric.

2. At least 95% of students will meet expectations by scoring a minimum of 3 on the Data and Advocacy Project scoring rubric.

3. At least 95% of students will pass the Praxis II exam.
Assessment Data:

**Spring 2020-Summer 2020-Fall 2020 Assessment Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRAXIS II</th>
<th>Percentage of students passing</th>
<th>Percentage of students passing</th>
<th>Percentage of students passing</th>
<th>Percentage of students passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number passing</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass Rate</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio Semester</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number scoring minimum of 3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets or Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>90.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data and Advocacy Project Semester</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number scoring minimum of 3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets or Exceeds Expectations</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Changes to be implemented Fall 2022:** Based upon the 2020 assessment data included in this annual report, what changes/improvements will the program implement during the next academic year to improve performance on this student learning outcome?

40, ual format for fall 2021. No changes were made to the student learning outcomes, and students were still able to successfully meet CACREP standards.

A majority of students continue to meet the expectations for the School Counseling Portfolio and Data & Advocacy Project. Despite the ongoing impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on course delivery and student learning, we feel the pandemic has had minimal impact on students’ ability to meet or exceed on the CSLG 7141 Portfolio assignment and the CSLG 7646 Data & Advocacy project. Though we are still in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic and course delivery has returned to in-person learning, we will continue to monitor students’ progress to meet or exceeds expectations for these assignments.

SC Faculty continue to see a significant increase in Praxis participation, and will work with Office of Teacher Education Advising & Licensure (TEAL) to explore student outcomes.